

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)	
· - 2 - 7 // 14 - 2010 P.A				
AIRS ID#: 1150019 DA	.TE: <u>04/03/2006</u>	ARRIVE: ~ 10:33 am	DEPART:	
FACILITY NAME: CE	EMEX - SARASOTA REDYMIX	K PLANT		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1100 CENTRAL AVE			
	SARASOTA 34237			
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: DENISE CORRALES	PHONE:	(813)968-3274	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 8/6/2004 (effective date)	/ 8/6/2009 (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck only one box)		
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMP	PLIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE	
	ECORDKEEPING REQUIREM	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.	.C.	
(check ☑ appropria	te box(es))			
Stack Emissions Wara visible amis	coione teste conducted during this	cite visit according to EDA Math	and 0 (Daf : Chapter	
62-297, F.A.C.)?-				
	om silos, weigh hoppers (batchers		l conveying equipment □Yes □ No	
3. During visible em	nissions tests of the silo dust colle	ector exhaust points was the loadi	ing of the silo conducted	
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer				
	"Yes", then continue on to question 5)-		rer is "No" then Yes No	
a) Was the batchi	ing operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?		
	sible emissions test, was the batch		mal batching rate and	
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) oper	ration are controlled by a dust coll	lector, which is separate	
	collector, are the visible emission batching at a rate that is represent	0 11 ,	cher) dust collector and duration?	
	1			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)					
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the collector exhaust point tested according to the collector exha					
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	☐Yes ☐ No				
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	n				
submittal date?	- ∐Yes ∐ No				
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?					
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
	ıle 🗌				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————					
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	sing Yes No Yes No				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	sing Yes NoYes NoYes No				
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)————————————————————————————————————	Sing Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No				

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check \square appropriate box(es))					
	!				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	· ·				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take i	reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:	•				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yard					
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock 					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup emissions?	opressant chemicals when necessary to control Yes No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa					
	educe airborne particulate matter? \(\sigma Yes \square No\)				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
	⊠Yes □ No				
	missions at the drop point to the truck? \overline{\times} Yes \overline{\to} No				
THE WAY OF STATE OF THE STATE O	- C 440 200/D/N4 T 4 C				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	de 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	· •				
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?					
a) installation of any new process equipment?b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla					
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different					
recent notification form?	Yes No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?					
local program office.					
Susan Cameron, ESIII	04/03/2006				
·					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	~ 2007				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
mopetion of Signature	Approximate 2 and of 1 tens and particular				
COMMENTS: At the time of this inspection, the yard area was watered down. Yard area is part concrete, part excavated wet					

earth. No visible emissions were observed.